FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463

FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

MUR:

5380

DATE COMPLAINT FILED:

August 6, 2003

DATE OF NOTIFICATION:

August 13, 2003

DATE ACTIVATED:

January 7, 2004

EXPIRATION OF STATUTE Earliest 11/2007

OF LIMITATIONS:

Latest 7/2008

COMPLAINANT:

Edward M. Brennan

RESPONDENTS:

Honorable Tom DeLay

Tom DeLay Congressional Committee and Dana Benoit, as treasurer

National Republican Congressional Committee and

Christopher J. Ward, as treasurer

RELEVANT STATUTES AND

REGULATIONS:

2 U.S.C. § 431(22)

2 U.S.C. § 431(24)

2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)

2 U.S.C. § 441h(b)

11 C.F.R. § 100.26 11 C.F.R. § 100.28

11 C.F.R. § 110.11

INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:

FEC Disclosure Reports

FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:

None

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

. 4

This matter concerns the National Republican Congressional Committee's ("NRCC") solicitation of political contributions through programs known as the "Business Advisory Council" and the "Physicians' Advisory Board." Review of publicly available information revealed that the NRCC administered fundraising programs targeting business owners and physicians. Through those programs, the NRCC contacted individuals by telephone to announce that they were winners of a particular award. During that communication, however, the committee solicited monetary contributions from the award winners. It appears that during many, if not most, of those telephone communications, the caller never identified either the sponsor of the communication or whether any candidates authorized the communication.

The complaint filed in this matter alleged that Congressman Tom DeLay solicited campaign contributions under the guise of an award program. However, publicly available information indicates that the NRCC was the actual source of the solicitations. Although DeLay loaned his voice for a recorded message used by the NRCC during its phone conversations with the award winners, there is no evidence that he participated in the actual calls. As such, this Office recommends that the Commission find reason to believe the NRCC violated 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) and find no reason to believe that Tom DeLay, his Committee or its treasurer violated the same section.

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Background

In 2003 the complainant received a number of telephone calls from "Congressman DeLay's office" concerning an award he was being given as a local businessman and about an invitation for him to sit on a Congressional advisory committee. Complaint at 1. According to

13

14

15

the complainant, "at some point" in a conversation stemming from one of these calls,

- 2 representatives who claimed to be from Congressman DeLay's office asked him to pay for
- 3 newspaper advertisements supporting various positions of the advisory committee. In response,
- 4 the complainant inquired whether "this was a political contribution" and the caller indicated that
- 5 it was not. Id. at 1. However, when the complainant asked whether the call was from an actual
- 6 Congressional committee the caller avoided responding directly and stated instead "that this was
- 7 a call from Congressman Delay [sic] asking that [the complainant] participate in his committee."
 - Id. The complainant also requested written materials for more information about the program, but never received anything. Id.

Although the complaint does not reference the NRCC, a due diligence review of the

public record revealed that the NRCC was the source of the telephone calls, which are the subject

of this complaint. News articles indicate the NRCC called doctors about the Physicians'

Advisory Board between the years 2000 and 2003.² Amy Snow Landa, GOP fund-raising

strategy targets physicians, American Medical News, Jul. 30, 2001, available at

http://www.ama-assn.org/amednews/; O'Keefe, supra note 2. Further, since 1998 the NRCC has

In 2001, the Commission disposed of three matters under review against the NRCC and Tom DeLay, among others, concerning the Business Advisory Council. MURs 5194, 5206 and 5250. The complaint alleged that business professionals were being offered access to high ranking political officials in exchange for campaign contributions, which in turn resulted in FECA reporting violations. The complainant argued there were reporting violations because the meetings with the political officials constituted contribution offsets that should have been reported as disbursements. The Commission rejected that theory and found no reason to believe that any of the respondents violated the Act. The complainant in those matters also filed a complaint with the Department of Justice ("DOJ") regarding the same activities. DOJ declined to prosecute the matter in July 2001, indicating that the actions alleged did not violate federal bribery statutes.

The number of calls from the Physicians' Advisory Board appeared to decline after doctors complained about them and the American Medical Association criticized the fundraising program. Ed O'Keefe, GOP Tactic: Tell Them What They've Won! Questions Raised Over House GOP Fund-Raising Pitch, ABC News, May 2, 2003, available at http://www.abcnews.go.com. A search of publicly available information revealed fewer news reports and press releases about the Physicians' Advisory Board after 2003. The group, however, continues to operate. http://www.physiciansadvisoryboard.org/.

- 1 been calling business professionals regarding the Business Advisory Council. Jeffrey
- 2 McMenemy, Attorney honored for leadership unsure of reasons, The Herald (Rock Hill, SC),
- 3 Oct. 23, 1998, at 1B; Jonathan Weisman, House GOP Fundraisers Put Price on Honors,
- Washington Post, Feb. 22, 2003, at A01.

communications during many of the calls.

Our review of news accounts and other public information indicates that many other
individuals throughout the country received similar telephone calls, purportedly from a

Congressman's office, regarding the same award; it appears that most of the calls were ostensibly
made on behalf of Congressmen Tom Davis, Tom DeLay and Tom Reynolds. These calls were
apparently similar in nature and resulted in solicitations for monetary contributions. See infra pp.

4-7. As discussed below, the information available at this time indicates that the calls were made
on behalf of the NRCC, but that the NRCC was not identified as the sponsor of the telephone

Although the complainant summarized the telephone calls that he received, we do not know the entire contents of those or any similar phone calls. However, through publicly available information we were able to learn the details of certain parts of those communications. One business owner posted on his website audio files of what he claimed were actual recorded phone messages left on his answering machine regarding the "National Leadership Award." http://www.jim-frizzell.com/national_leadership_award.htm. He received four messages on behalf of three different Congressmen (i.e., Davis, DeLay and Reynolds) in December 2001, April 2002, August 2002 and February 2004. *Id.* Only the most recent message actually identified the NRCC as the source of the telephone call. Those that did not identify the NRCC proceeded as follows:

ω 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Hi Mr. James Frizzell, my name is Loretta Lewis with Congressman Tom DeLay in Washington. We wanted to recognize you with our business award and I need to speak to you about a press release. Again, my name is Loretta Lewis. My number is 1-800-650-8375. I would appreciate it if you'd call me as soon as you get this message. Thank you.

·7 Id.

1 2

3

4

5 6

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

It seems that similar phone messages were left for other award recipients. Mr. Frizzell's website contains copies of more than fifty e-mail messages from individuals recounting their own experiences with similar NRCC solicitations.³ At least thirty of those messages were posted after November 2002. Based on a review of those e-mails and of numerous news reports, it appears that most of the telephone communications the NRCC initiated contain the same language. See Alex Adwan, Curses, Foiled Again, Tulsa World (OK), Aug. 24, 2003, at G6; Steve Duin, GOP Teaches Telemarketers a Few Tricks, The Oregonian, Sept. 11, 2003, at D01; O'Keefe. supra note 2; Weisman, supra at A01; Profile: House Majority Leader Tom DeLay Using Controversial Telemarketing Tactics to Raise Money for Republican Party (NBC News: Nightly News television broadcast, Nov. 10, 2003), available at 2003 WL 5437880 [hereinafter "Profile"].

Individuals whom the NRCC reached directly, as well as those who called the committee in response to a phone message, were asked to listen to a message recorded by a member of Congress (i.e. by Davis, DeLay or Reynolds). O'Keefe, supra note 2; Weisman, supra at A01. During that message, the Representative congratulated the listener for being a leading business owner/physician and invited the listener to become an Honorary Chair of either the Business

Mr. Frizzell also posted on his website a copy of a fax he received from the NRCC. Unlike the telephone calls, that communication does identify the NRCC. http://www.jim-frizzell.com/national_republican_congressiona.htm.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Advisory Council ("BAC") or the Physicians' Advisory Board ("PAB").⁴ Adwan, supra at G6;

2 O'Keefe, supra note 2. At the end of the recording, a telemarketer came on the line to provide

3 further details and to ask for a monetary contribution. O'Keefe, supra note 2. During that

4 conversation, award recipients were told that as Honorary Chairs they would meet with top

5 members of Congress and would be invited to state and national meetings. Landa, supra;

6 O'Keefe, supra note 2. Some award recipients were promised autographed pictures of the

7 President and that they would be able to give their input on "major issues before the Congress."

O'Keefe, supra note 2. It appears that individuals who accepted the award invitation were

promptly asked for a \$300 to \$500 contribution to pay for a Wall Street Journal advertisement

that was to list the names of the award winners. Adwan, supra at G6; Duin, supra at D01;

O'Keefe, supra note 2; Profile, supra. Only those individuals who made contributions were

listed in the advertisement. Duin, supra at D01.

The evidence gathered suggests that the NRCC may not have identified itself in many of its telephone solicitations relating to the BAC or PAB. News reports indicate that many doctors and business professionals were not told that the call was from or on behalf of the NRCC or was connected to the Republican Party in any way. See John Bresnahan, Doctors Angered by Fundraising Calls Offering Award in Swap for Donation, Roll Call, Jun. 12, 2000; Landa, supra; O'Keefe, supra note 2. See also John Williams, "The Hammer" now taps doctors, Houston Chronicle, Aug. 27, 2001, at A15 (describing NRCC calls received by physicians where there

⁴ The BAC and the PAB are both projects of the NRCC that confer awards on leading business professionals and physicians, and invite those award winners to become Honorary Chairs of the respective group. *See* http://www.businessadvisorycouncil.org; http://www.physiciansadvisoryboard.org. On its website, BAC describes itself as "a small prestigious group of conservative businessmen and women" whose members are "selected after an exhaustive search of key business leaders throughout the country." http://www.businessadvisorycouncil.org. PAB runs a similar program aimed at doctors. Landa, *supra*.

- 1 was no initial mention of fundraising). A number of award recipients reported that some callers
- 2 refused to say or avoided saying who actually sponsored the program. Complaint at 1; Libby
- 3 Quaid, GOP Targets doctors for donations, Associated Press, Jun. 9, 2000. Others who did learn
- 4 who paid for the program only ascertained that information after asking questions themselves.
- 5 Duin, supra at D01.

Prior to November 6, 2002, it was unclear whether 2 U.S.C. § 441(d) required disclaimers on telemarketing calls, whether containing solicitations or express advocacy, placed on behalf of political committees. However the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act ("BCRA") and the regulations thereunder removed any ambiguity by specifying that public communications through telephone banks were included in the types of "general public political advertising" subject to the disclaimer requirement. *See infra* pp. 11-12. In addition to the complaint and the e-mail messages discussed above, *supra* pp. 2-5, there is other evidence that indicates that NRCC fundraising programs such as the BAC continue to operate since BCRA became effective without identifying the NRCC as the sponsor in telephone solicitations. Award winners interviewed for an ABC News story in 2003 indicated that during the telephone calls they received about their awards, neither the sponsor of the calls nor "any connect[ion] to the Republican party's efforts" were identified. O'Keefe, *supra* note 2. Thus, it appears the NRCC may have continued to utilize the same approach it had used in the past in the telephone solicitations it conducted after November 2002. The extent to which, and the consistency with which, NRCC telephone

solicitations contained disclaimers after November 2002 remains to be determined. Other

post-BCRA news reports document portions of actual NRCC telephone solicitations, but they are unclear as to whether disclaimers were included.⁵

Information gathered about the BAC and PAB indicates that their primary purpose is to raise funds for the NRCC. While some award recipients interviewed for news articles recalled completing one or more surveys for the groups, no one at the NRCC could explain how such input actually reaches Congressional leaders. Landa, *supra*. Rather, most award winners report that after accepting an invitation to become Honorary Chairs, they were periodically invited to various functions that required substantial attendance fees. Weisman, *supra* at A01. Award winners were charged a fee to attend a dinner to receive their own awards, while others never received the award certificate that was promised to them during the NRCC telephone

It appears, from those reports, that the contents of the solicitations were similar to those made to the complainant. For instance, in 2003 NBC Nightly News recorded the conversation an Air Force Chaplain had with a telemarketer regarding the BAC's National Leadership Award. See Lisa Myers, Tom DeLay: Politician or Telemarketer? Majority Leader Uses Offer of Honor in Pitch for Funds, NBC News, Nov. 10, 2003, available at http://msnbc.msn. com/id/3476031; Profile, supra. Based on the excerpts provided in the story, there is no question that a solicitation for a monetary contribution took place during the telephone call. However, it is unclear whether the NRCC was ever identified as having paid for the communication. Other individuals have discussed their recent experiences with the same types of solicitations, and as with the instance discussed above, it remained unclear whether sponsorship information was ever communicated to them. See e.g. Adwan, supra at G6 (describing one journalist's phone conversation with telemarketers regarding his National Leadership Award in 2003); Barbara Solow, Dubious honor for local doctors, Independent Weekly (Durham, NC), Dec. 25, 2002, available at http://www.indyweek.com/durham/2002-12-25/porch2.html (recounting one physician's experience with calls from "DeLay's" office regarding the PAB in 2002); Weisman, supra at A01 (reporting on the NRCC's telephone solicitations in early 2003 and describing the general script followed during the calls).

The awards conferred through the BAC and PAB include the "National Leadership Award," "Businessman/Businesswoman of the Year" and "Physician of the Year." It is unknown whether the NRCC uses any criteria for selecting winners. All award winners are asked to become Honorary Chairs of the BAC or PAB, which results in thousands of Honorary Chairs in any given year. For instance, in February 2003 one Wall Street Journal advertisement listed over 1,900 people as businessmen and women of the year for 2003. Weisman, *supra* at A01. Honorary Chairs in both programs can participate in the groups by allowing their names to be used in advertising, attending strategy sessions and policy briefings, completing surveys, and making financial contributions. *See* http://www.businessadvisorycouncil.org; http://www.physiciansadvisoryboard.org.

1 calls.⁷ Id.

2

3

5

6

7

8

25049184264

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

The NRCC itself appears to treat the BAC and the PAB as fundraising programs. On its website, the NRCC lists both groups as "Individual contribution opportunities." http://teamnrcc. org/nrccdocs/quicklinks/. It has described the PAB as a "partial fund-raising group" and explained that the PAB was one of their "most successful programs," acknowledging that "It lhere is a fund-raising component" to the PAB. Landa, supra; Quaid, supra; Matt Smith, GOP to pot doctor: Good job!, San Francisco Weekly, Jul. 18, 2001. In 2003, an NRCC spokesman acknowledged that the BAC was "more or less a marketing tool" and that "[t]he honorary chairmen are all periodically asked for donations." David Lazarus, A Call From Tom DeLay, San Francisco Chronicle, Sept. 28, 2003, at I.1. The spokesman also explained that the BAC was important because "with campaign finance reform we have to look for new avenues of fund-raising." Id. However, in response to questions surrounding the details of the programs, one spokesman stated "[i]n regard to fundraising tactics, we're just like KFC: The colonel doesn't reveal the recipe." Pete Yost, GOP Calls Offer Access to Top Bush Officials; DeLay Seeks Money from Business Owners, The Record (Bergen Cty., NJ), Apr. 4, 2001, at A18. Finally, the NRCC has not been able to explain how money raised through the BAC and PAB could be used, other than for funding Republican Congressional campaigns. According to one

One Physician of the Year award recipient who wanted to attend her award dinner was told that she would have to pay \$5,000 to attend. After refusing to pay, she was offered a lower rate of \$1,250. After still refusing to pay, the physician was told that she could not attend the event but could keep her faxed copy of the award certificate. It was not until a reporter intervened that the physician was permitted to attend the event without paying. Weisman, supra at A01.

- 1 NRCC spokesperson, "it would probably just go into the [committee's] general fund." Landa,
- 2 supra.
- Further, the NRCC pays telemarketing vendors to make telephone calls on its behalf for
- 4 fundraising programs such as the PAB and BAC. A review of FEC disclosure reports reveals
- 5 that post-BCRA the NRCC made disbursements to InfoCision Management Corporation
- 6 ("InfoCision") as well as to three other vendors for "Phone Banks." However, the
- 7 disbursements made to InfoCision were larger and news accounts have established a specific
- 8 connection between InfoCision and the BAC and PAB programs. Jim Drinkard, With New Law,
- 9 GOP Routs Democrats in Fundraising, USA TODAY, Aug. 21, 2003, at 1A; Jim VandeHei and
 - Juliet Eilpern, For GOP, A High-Priced Pitch; Firm Gets \$16 million over Four Months for
 - Fundraising Work, Washington Post, Jun. 16, 2003, at A04. The NRCC has been working with
 - InfoCision since 1993 and under a recent arrangement with them, the NRCC is guaranteed to
- 13 receive at least a dollar in contributions for every dollar that it pays the firm. 10 Cillizza, supra
- note 10; VandeHei, supra at A04. According to news reports, from January 1 through March 31,

In one news account, the NRCC claimed that through programs like the BAC, it is "merely recognizing business leaders and inviting them to periodic conferences and banquets. No money need to be given to accept the honor, although there is a fee for the gatherings." Weisman, supra at A01. However, some recipients were told that a donation was required to become an Honorary Chair. See e.g., Bresnahan, supra; O'Keefe, supra note 2; Quaid, supra. Moreover, even if "no money need be given," it is unknown whether award recipients are ever told that they would have to pay fees to attend any of the events.

According to FEC records, the NRCC made disbursements for the purpose of "Phone Banks" to a number of different vendors. In 2003, it paid Conquest Communications \$166,206.19, Larson & Synhorst \$281,788.78, and Strategic Telecommunications \$71,789.50. So far in 2004, the NRCC has paid Strategic Telecommunication \$907, 625.18. Over the years the NRCC has made its largest disbursements for the purpose of "Phone Banks" to InfoCision. See e.g. Attachment 1, Sample NRCC Disclosure Reports. In 2003 it paid InfoCision \$35,527,815.42 and has paid InfoCision \$8,761,120.41 so far in 2004. Further, InfoCision was the only firm the NRCC used for phone banks in November and December 2002.

¹⁰ InfoCision, founded in 1982, is a telemarketing service based out of Akron, Ohio that works only for conservative groups such as the NRCC and the National Rifle Association. Chris Cillizza, Calls Fuel NRCC, Roll Call, Apr. 2, 2003; VandeHei, supra at A04. Its fundraising department is composed of five divisions: political, non-profit, Christian, commercial, and volunteer recruitment. http://www.infocision.com. In 2003, it employed over 2,600 workers, including 1,600 telemarketers, at over twenty call centers throughout the country. Cillizza, supra.

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 1 2003, the telemarketing firm made about two million fundraising calls on behalf of the NRCC
- 2 and added about 100,000 new donors to the NRCC's contributor list, with contributions
- 3 averaging \$100 per person. Cillizza, supra note 10. Rodney Smith, a telemarketing expert,
- 4 created the phone pitches used by InfoCision for the NRCC's fundraising programs. VandeHei,
- 5 supra at A04. It appears that NRCC Chairman Tom Reynolds approves Smith's scripts before
- 6 they are sent to InfoCision. Id. When asked about the NRCC's large payments to InfoCision,
- 7 NRCC Chairman Tom Reynolds stated that "[w]e're in a whole new world of fundraising . . . we
- 8 need to experiment." VandeHei, supra at A04.

B. Analysis

Under the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), the NRCC is required to provide a disclaimer during certain political communications. In 2002, BCRA expanded the Act's disclaimer provisions to apply to telephone banks. See 2 U.S.C. §§ 441d(a), 431(22), 431(24); 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11, 100.26. Although the disclaimer statute does not make specific reference to them, BCRA added the term "public communication" which includes "telephone banks" as part of its definition. See 2 U.S.C. § 431(22); 11 C.F.R. § 100.26. The Commission has also explained that "each form of communication specifically listed in the definition of 'public communication,' as well as each form of communication listed with reference to a 'communication' in 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a), must be a form of 'general public political advertising'." Explanation and Justification, Disclaimers, Fraudulent Solicitations, Civil Penalties, and Personal Use of Campaign Funds, 67 Fed. Reg. 76962, 76963 (Dec. 13, 2002).

As discussed earlier, *supra* p. 7, until BCRA it was unclear whether the disclaimer provisions of the Act applied to telephone banks. Thus, this analysis focuses on potential violations that occurred after November 6, 2002, the effective date of BCRA.

C.F.R. § 100.28.

Specifically, the Act requires disclaimers "whenever any person . . . solicits any contribution through any broadcasting station, newspaper, magazine, outdoor advertising facility, mailing, or any other type of general public political advertising advertising [sic] facility, mailing, or any other type of general public political advertising." 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a) [emphasis added]. As a form of general public political advertising, telephone banks are defined as "more than 500 telephone calls of an identical or substantially similar nature within any 30 day period." 2 U.S.C. § 431(24). Telephone calls are substantially similar when they "include substantially the same template or language, but vary in non-material respects such as communications customized by the recipient's name, occupation, or geographic location." 11

The telephone calls at issue here may have required disclaimers. They apparently solicited contributions to the NRCC, and based on the number of press accounts in the public record there is sufficient evidence to investigate whether the number of calls made surpassed the five hundred phone calls within the 30-day period the statute requires. In addition, publicly available information indicates that those telephone calls were substantially similar in nature: the calls seemed to follow a script where the caller informed the recipient that they had been selected for an award, played a recorded message for the award winner and proceeded to ask for a contribution. See e.g. Adwan, supra at G6; Duin, supra at D01; O'Keefe, supra note 2; Weisman, supra at A01; Profile, supra. As a committee that is not authorized by any candidate, when the NRCC makes a public communication it must clearly state the name, address, telephone number or website address of who paid for the communication and state that the communication was not authorized by any candidate. 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(3). See e.g.

http://www.nrcc.org (providing the proper disclaimer on its website). Because there is evidence

that even after BCRA's effective date many NRCC phone solicitations did not contain the proper disclaimer, this Office recommends that the Commission internally generate the NRCC and

3 Christopher J. Ward, as treasurer, as Respondents and find that they violated 2 U.S.C.

4 § 441d(a).¹²

While it appears that Congressman DeLay, along with other members of Congress,

6 approved the use of their names in these NRCC programs, there is nothing to indicate that DeLay

7 himself violated the disclaimer provisions of the Act. Thus, this Office recommends the

Commission find no reason to believe that Tom DeLay and his Committee violated 2 U.S.C.

9 § 441d(a).

III. INVESTIGATION

8

10

15

16

17

18

19

Although the complaint alleges that the telephone calls received constituted "deceptive" fundraising, there is nothing to indicate that there was any fraudulent misrepresentation of authority in the NRCC's calls to award recipients that would establish a violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441h(b). While the callers indicated they were calling on behalf of particular Congressmen, the NRCC appeared to have the authority to use those Representatives' names: the Congressmen had tape-recorded messages for the NRCC to use in its telephone communications. See supra pp. 5-6.

1 !

3

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Find reason to believe the National Republican Congressional Committee and Christopher J. Ward, as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a).
- 2. Find no reason to believe that the Honorable Tom DeLay, the Tom DeLay Congressional Committee and Dana Benoit, as treasurer, violated 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a).
- 3. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis.
- 4.

5. Approve the appropriate letters.

8/2/04

Lawrence H. Norton General Counsel

BY:

Date

Lawrence L. Calvert, Jr.

Deputy Associate General Counsel

for Enforcement

Sidney Rocke

Assistant General Counsel

Ana J. Peña-Wallace

Attorney

Attachments:

- 1- Sample NRCC Disclosure Reports
- 2- Factual and Legal Analysis

FEC Scheaus H4 (Form 5X) (hevered 1/2001)

DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE H4 (FEC Form 3X) JOINT FEDERALINON-FEDERAL ACTIVITY SCHEDULE

INT FEDERALINON	-FEDERAL	ACTIVITY SCHEI	DULE	<u>-</u>	PAGE 687/911 FOR LINE 21s OF FORM SX
E OF COMMITTEE (in Full)	NCERCONAL	COMMITTEE			
TIONAL REPUBLICAN CO	NGKEZSIONAL	COMMILIEE			
A. Full Name (Last, First, M INFOCISION MANAGEMENT	iddle infilel) CORP.			Type of Allocated A Admin. Voter D	·
Mailing Address \$25 SPRINGSIDE DRIVE	AKRON OH	I 44333		Exempl Event Year-To-Di	Direct Candida Support
City	Saic	Zip Code		Event 1561-10-51	,
AKRON	OH	443 3 3	COM		2672727.23
Purpose/Event: Phone Banks			Calegory		
Description: 312-309-30	1-311		} Type	Date 09"	11 2002
FEDERAL SI	HARE	+ NON-FEDI	ERAL SHARE	= 70	TAL AMOUNT
	177093.42		75897.18		252990.60
	1.1005.42		15001.10	Transaction ID:	H4093D02-10125
A. Full Name (Lest, First, M	licidie initiel)			Type of Allocated A	
infocision management	CORP.				· —
Mailing Address				Exempl Exempl	Direct Candida
325 SPRINGSIDE DRIVE				Event Year-To-D	Support Support
City	Simile	Zip Code	СОМ		2672727.23
AKRON	ОН	44333	COM	İ	2012121.23
Purpose/Event: Phone Banks			Calcgory/ Type		
Description: 312-309-30	1-311 '		1 1364	Dete 09	18 2002
FEDERAL SI	HARE	+ NON-FED	ERAL SHARE		DTAL AMOUNT
	1399.06		599.60	•	1898.66
				Transac tion ID :	H4093D02-10126
A. Full Name (Last, First, N	liddle Infial)			Type of Allocated A	
INFOCISION MANAGEMENT				Admin Nicker	Drive X Fundralsing
Mailing Address				Exempt	Direct Candid
325 SPRINGSIDE DRIVE	AKRON OF			Event Year-To-D	Support ale
City	State OH	Zip Code	CDM		2872727.23
AKRON Purpose/Event:	OH	44333			2012121.23
Phone Bento			Category/ Type		
Description: 312-309-30	1-311		1,300	Date 00	18 2002 "
FEDERAL SI	HARE	+ N →FED	ERAL SHARE	= 7	OTAL AMOUNT
	51812.62		22119.69		73732.31
				Transaction ID:	H4093002-10127
BIGTAL of Joint Federal Br	od Non-Federal Ad	Mhy The Fane			
FEDERAL SI		,	ERAL SHARE	<u> </u>	OTAL AMOUNT
LENERAL SI	230105.10	·	9881 6. 47	- '	
•	VI(.50105.)		300 l 0.4 /		328721.57
This Period (ies) page FEDERAL SI		XFederal share to 21(a)((i) and non-Federal sh		THUCKIA JATO
	,	NON-FED	ERAL SHARE		
TAL This Period for the Norsed for Ine 31 of the detailed	n-Federal Share 1 summary papa)				

			_
SCHEDULE B (FEC Form 3X) TEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS	Use superate schedule(s) for each category of the	FOR LINE I (check only	one)
	Detailed Summary Page	A 210 -	22 25 24 25 27 28a 28b 28c 29
Any information copied from such Reports and or for commercial purposes, other than using the	Stements may not be sold or used a name and address of any political	by any person to committee to so	for the purpose of soliceting contributions which contributions from such committee
NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)			
NATIONAL REPUBLICAN CONGRES	SSIONAL COMMITTEE		
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) INFOCISION MANAGEMENT CORP.			Date of Disbursement
Malling Address		1	11 1 0 6 · 2002
325 SPRINGSIDE DRIVE	AKRON OH 44333)	
City	State Zip Code OH 44333	ļ	Amount of Each Disbursement this Period
AKRON Purpose of Disbursement	· OH 44353	1	850818. 65
Phone Banks		15	
Candidate Name		Celegory/ Type	
Office Sought: House Di	sbursement For: 2004 Primery General		
President	Other (apecify)		Transaction ID: E21E112502-11879
State: 00 Distinct: 00			
Ful Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) B. INFOCISION MANAGEMENT CORP.			Date of Disbursement
Mailing Address			ว้า " "าฮ์ ` ว้าอั้ว `
325 SPRINGSIDE DRIVE	AKRON OH 44333	{	
City AKRON	Stelle Zip Code OH 44333		Amount of Each Disbursement this Period
Purpose of Disbursement Phone Banks	}	15	467.00
Candidate Name		Calegory/ Type	
Office Sought: House Di	sbursement For 2004		
Senete President	Primery General		•
State: 00 District. ap	Other (specify)		Transaction ID: B218112502-11880
Full Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)			
C. INFOCISION MANAGEMENT CORP.	·		Date of Disbursement
Mailing Address 325 SPRINGSIDE DRIVE	AKRON OH 44333		11 18 2002
aly	State Zip Code		Amount of Each Disbursement this Penad
AKRON	OH 44333		
Purpose of Disbursement Phone Benks		15	51B162.60
Centidate Name		Celegory/ Type	
Office Sought: House Di	isbursement For. 2004		
Senate 2	Primary General		
President State: 00 District: 80	Other (specify)		Transaction ID: 8218112502-11881
			4990740 95
SUBTOTAL of Disbursements This Page (op	tipnel)	<u></u>	1369248.25
TOTAL This Period flast page this line number	er conty)		
FEC Schedule B (Romsod 1/2001)			

ATTACHMENT ---

							·		
	B (FEC Form	NTS for each	Use seperate schedule(s) for each category of the Detailed Summary Page		FOR LINE NUMBER (check only one) X 21b 22 23 27 28a 28				
Any Information co	opied from such Repo	rts and Statements may using the name and add	not be sold or us	ed by any personal committee to	on for the pur	pose of soli	cating contributions		
NAME OF CO	MMITTEE (In Full)	NGRESSIONAL COM							
Full Name (La	ist, First, Middle Initial) CT INC.				B123103-665				
Mailing Addre	Mailing Address 7540 MASON KING COURT MANAS SAS VA 20109				12	ຶ 1 8້	2003		
City MANASSAS		State VA	Zip Code 20109		Amount o	f Each Disb	ursement this Period		
Purpose of Di Postage	Purpose of Disbursement Postage				•		16320 00		
Candidate Na	me			Category/ Type					
Office Sought State.	. House Senate President District:	Disbursement For Primary Other (spi	2004 General ecify) ▼				V.		
	st, First, Middle Initial)				Transacti Date of D	B123103-666			
Mailing Addre	Mailing Address 610 TUALLITAN RD LOS ANGELES CA 90049				12	2 6	2003		
City LOS ANGEI	LES	State CA	Zip Code 90049		Amount o	f Each Disb	ursement this Perio		
Purpose of Di Salaries	sbursement		•		954.38				
Candidate Na	me			Category/ Type					
Office Sought	House Senate President District:	Disbursement For Primary Other (spe	2004 General ecify) ♥		•				
Full Name (Last First, Middle Initial) C. INFOCISION MANAGEMENT CORP						on ID: B21	B123103-667		

ءً 18 ء 12 2003 Mailing Address 325 SPRINGSIDE DRIVE **AKRON OH 44333** State City Zip Code Amo .nt of Each Disbursement this Period OH AKRON 44333 14411 92 Purpose of Disbursement Phone Banks Candidate Name Category/ Type Office Sought House 2004 Disbursement For Primary Senate General President Other (specify) District: State 31686.30 SUBTOTAL of Disbursements This Page (optional)

TOTAL This Period (last page this line number only)

ATTACHMENT OF 4

	4				
82		Z e	2082	of 2422)	

	WEDING F	(EEC E									_	
SCHEDULE B (FEC Form 3X)			. Dze zecelnie z		FOR LINE		PAGE 2082/2422					
ITEMIZED DISBURSEMENTS		TS for each catego Detailed Summ		X 21b]≱ □25			□ 26			
			DEBIED SOMI	ary Page	27		23 286	28c	_	29		300
AR	y Information copi	ed from such Reports	end Statements may not be	sold of used	by any person	for the purpo	36 51 36	diceting	200	DILIO		
OT	for commercial pu	rposes, other than us	ag the name and address of a	any political c	or satimme	solutic contribut	ions tra	w ancy	comi	nik ec		
\	NAME OF COM	MITTEE (In Full)										
)	NATIONAL RE	PUBLICAN CONG	RESSIONAL COMMITTE	E								
_		Clock Stands which										
A.		First, Middle Initiell) NANAGEMENT CO			Transaction Date of Di	SPALL SELLINGS	nerst					
	Mailing Address	325 SPRINGSII AKRON OH 443				02 '	24	, , •	20	0 4	•	
	City		Starle Zip (Code		Amount of	Each D	ودالطوا	ment	this P	erio	1
	AKRON		OH 449	33		1						
	Purpose of Disbi Phose Benks	rsement			٠.				5263	368.4	3	
	Candidate Name				Calegory/ Type	}						
	Office Sought:	House		2004								
		Senete	Pamary	General		}						
	State:	President District	Other (specify)	7								
_		First, Middle Initial)	L			 						
Ð.	CATHERINE					Transaction Date of Di	sburs an	nent	2290	4-128	3	
	Mailing Address	1202 S. WASH	INGTON ST #403			g2 ° ′	1 2	, ,	20	04	•	
	aly ALEXANDRIA		State Zip C VA 223			Amount of	Each D		ment	this P	erio	đ
	Purpose of Disbu	Paerneri	711 220	'''						101.E	33	
	Travel					İ					••	
	Canddale Name				Calegory/ Type	1						
	Office Sought:	House	Disbursement For:	2004		1						
		Senate	Primary	General		1						
	 .	President	Other (specify)	7		1						
	Shate:	District										
C.	DCDDES	First, Middle Initial)				Transaction Date of D			2290	4-12	9	
	Mailing Address	P.O. BOX 1582				02	20	n .	20	0 4	•	
		WASHINGTON					_					
	City WASHINGTON		State Zip C DC 200			Armount of	Each [Disbursi	ment	this F	,euo	d
	Purpose of Disbu Payroll Tax	rserneni]				102.	25	,
	Candidate Name			1	Calegory/ Type							
	Office Sought:	House	Disbursement For:	2004		1						
		Senate	Pamary	General		1						
	Chana	President District	Other (specify)	7		1						
	State:	District				l						
\$	UBTOTAL of Disb	ursements This Page	loptonali						526	570.	31	
T 4	OTAL The Period	ilad page this less as	mber only)									
	Schedule B (Form		7:151									
-	ADDITIONS IN TAXABLE											